

overtime pay, minimum wage, and record keeping requirements for covered employers. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a), 207(a), 211(c).

2. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay its nonexempt employees at one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per each seven (7) day workweek.

3. Plaintiff brings this collective action under section 216(b) of the FLSA on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees in order to recover unpaid overtime wages.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Plaintiff's claims arise under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

5. Venue is proper in this district and division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (2) because Defendant resides in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas and/or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas.

III. THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Matthew Dewan is a resident of Seminole County, Oklahoma and was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA during the three (3) year period preceding the filing of this action. In performing his duties, Dewan was engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Dewan regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week. However, Dewan did not receive overtime pay for

hours worked in excess of forty (40) at a rate of time and one-half as required by the FLSA.

7. Defendant M-I, L.L.C. d/b/a Mi Swaco is a Delaware corporation that may be served with process by serving its registered agent, National Registered Agents, Inc., at 1021 Main Street, Suite 1150, Houston, Texas 77002.

8. Whenever in this Complaint it is alleged that Defendant committed any act or omission, it is meant that the Defendant's officers, directors, vice-principals, agents, servants or employees committed such act or omission and that at the time such act or omission was committed, it was done with the full authorization, ratification or approval of Defendant or was done in the routine and normal course and scope of employment of the Defendant's officers, directors, vice-principals, agents, servants or employees.

IV. FACTS

9. Defendant purports to be "the [oil industry's] leading supplier of drilling fluid systems engineered to improve drilling performance by anticipating fluids-related problems, fluid systems and specialty tools designed to optimize wellbore productivity, production technology solutions to maximize production rates, and environmental solutions that safely manage waste volumes generated in both drilling and production operations." It has offices in the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

10. From approximately September 2010 through November 2012, Dewan was employed by Defendant as a drilling fluid specialist (also referred to as a "mud man" or "mud engineer").

11. Drilling fluid specialists, including Dewan, are responsible ensuring the properties of the drilling fluid, also known as drilling mud, are within designed specifications.

12. Drilling fluid specialists, including Dewan, regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

13. Defendant does not pay drilling fluid specialists, including Dewan, at one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per each seven (7) day workweek as required by the FLSA.

14. Instead, Defendant pays drilling fluid specialists, including Dewan, at a fixed sum which does not take into consideration the number of hours worked per week.

15. On information and belief, these same illegal pay practices were applied to all employees of Defendant who were compensated in the same or similar manner to that of Plaintiff.

V. PLAINTIFF'S INDIVIDUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Defendant Failed to Properly Compensate Plaintiff at the Rate of Time and One-Half for All Overtime Hours.

16. On information and belief, Plaintiff was a nonexempt employee under the guidelines of the FLSA.

17. As a nonexempt employee, Plaintiff was legally entitled to be paid at one and one-half times his "regular rate" for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) during each seven (7) day workweek. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

18. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) at one and one-half times his regular rate.

19. Instead, Defendant paid Plaintiff at fixed sum without regard to the number of hours worked per week.

20. As a result, Plaintiff was regularly “shorted” on his paycheck by not being paid at a rate of time and one-half for hours worked in excess of forty (40).

21. In the event that Defendant classified Plaintiff as exempt from overtime, Plaintiff was misclassified, as no proper exemption enumerated within the guidelines of the FLSA excused Defendant from appropriately paying Plaintiff full overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours during each seven (7) day workweek, as is specifically required by the FLSA.

22. Rather, Defendant knowingly, willfully, and with reckless disregard, carried out its illegal pattern and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff proper overtime wages.

B. Defendant Failed to Keep Accurate Records of Time Worked.

23. The FLSA requires employers to keep accurate records of hours worked by nonexempt employees. 29 U.S.C. § 211(c).

24. In addition to the pay violations of the FLSA identified above, Defendant also failed to keep proper time records as required by the FLSA.

C. Defendant's Illegal Actions Were and Are Willful Violations of the FLSA.

25. The illegal pattern or practice on the part of Defendant with respect to compensation and failure to maintain accurate time records are direct violations of the FLSA.

26. No exemption excuses Defendant from failing to pay Plaintiff at his proper overtime rate of time and one-half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40).

27. Defendant has not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA.

28. Rather, Defendant knowingly, willfully and with reckless disregard carried out an illegal pattern or practice regarding overtime compensation and the payment of wages to Plaintiff.

VI. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

29. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the facts set forth in the above sections of this Complaint.

30. On information and belief, other employees have been victimized by Defendant's patterns, practices and policies identified above in violation of the FLSA.

31. These employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff because, during the relevant time period, they held similar positions, were compensated in a similar manner and were denied overtime wages at a rate of time and one-half for hours worked in excess of forty (40).

32. Defendant's patterns or practices of failing to pay overtime compensation are generally applicable policies or practices and do not depend on the personal circumstances of the Members of the Class.

33. Since, on information and belief, Plaintiff's experiences are typical of the experiences of the Members of the Class, collective action treatment is appropriate.

34. All employees of Defendant, regardless of their rate of pay, who were not paid at their proper overtime rate for hours worked in excess of forty (40) are similarly situated. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. The Class is therefore properly defined as:

All current and former drilling fluid specialists or any other employee who: (1) worked at any business located in the United States that was owned, operated, and/or acquired by Defendant during the class period; (2) claim they were misclassified as exempt from overtime compensation or was an hourly employee and now seek payment for overtime hours worked; and/or (3) were compensated on any basis where they were not properly paid at a rate of time and a half for hours worked in excess of forty (40).

35. Plaintiff has retained counsel well versed in FLSA collective action litigation who is prepared to litigate this matter vigorously on behalf of Plaintiff and any other Members of the Class.

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION—FAILURE TO PAY WAGES

36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the facts set forth in the above-sections of this Complaint.

37. Defendant's practice of failing to pay its nonexempt employees overtime compensation at one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) is in direct violation of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a), 207(a).

38. Defendant violated the FLSA as well as the Texas Labor Code by failing to pay Plaintiff his full and proper compensation.

39. Plaintiff is entitled to payment for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in an amount that is one and one-half times his regular rate of pay.

40. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages in an amount equal to his unpaid regular and overtime wages as a result of Defendant's failure to comply with the guidelines of the FLSA.

VIII. JURY REQUEST

41. Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

IX. PRAYER

42. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this action respectfully request this Court:

- a. Authorize the issuance of notice at the earliest possible time to all Defendant's employees who were employed during the three (3) years immediately preceding the filing of this lawsuit, informing them of their rights to participate in this lawsuit if they should so desire;
- b. Declare Defendant have violated the overtime provisions of the FLSA as to Plaintiff and all those similarly situated;
- c. Declare Defendant's violations of the FLSA to be willful;
- d. Award Plaintiff and all those similarly situated damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, subject to proof at trial;
- e. Award Plaintiff and all those similarly situated an equal amount as liquidated damages, as specifically permitted under the guidelines of the FLSA;
- f. Award attorneys' fees both for this cause and for any and all appeals as may be necessary;

- g. Award expert witness fees incurred by Plaintiff in the preparation and prosecution of this action;
- h. Award postjudgment interest as allowed by law;
- i. Award costs of court and costs of prosecuting Plaintiff's claims; and
- j. Award such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

MOORE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ Melissa Moore

Melissa Moore
State Bar No. 24013189
Federal Id. No. 25122
Curt Hesse
State Bar No. 24065414
Federal Id. No. 968465
Lyric Center
440 Louisiana Street, Suite 675
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 222-6775
Facsimile: (713) 222-6739

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF